

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CINTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
WWW.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOX: KET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/614,600 | 07/07/2003 | David G. Foster | 86698CPK | 7828 |
| 75 | 90 04/05/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| Paul A. Leipol | d . | | HESS, BRUCE H | |
| Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 343 State Street | | | 1774 | |
| Rochester, NY 14650-2201 DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005 | | | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | U) | U |
|---|--|---|-------------|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
| | 10/614,600 | FOSTER ET AL. | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Bruce H Hess | 1774 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | opears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | lress | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | imely filed lys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this cor ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status · | • | 17861 | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | -7-03 and 1-21-05 | (7D>) | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th | is action is non-final. | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | ance except for formal matters, pr | osecution as to the | merits is | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | , and the second | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) $\frac{1-20}{}$ is/are pending in the applicat | ion. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr | • | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. | | · | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | or election requirement. | | ٠. | , |
| Application Papers | y de la compaña de la comp La compaña de la compaña d | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir | ner. | • | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a | | Examiner. | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to th | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | | | R 1.121(d). | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the l | * | - | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: | gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ຄ | a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | nts have been received. | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | nts have been received in Applica | tion No | · | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri | ority documents have been receive | ed in this National S | Stage | |
| application from the International Bure | au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | st of the certified copies not receiv | red. | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summar | y (PTO-413) | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail [| Date | 450) | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/6 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | Patent Application (PTO | -152) | |

Art Unit: 1774

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 13-25, 30 and 58 of copending Application No. 10/614,379. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of S.N. 10/614,379 are drawn to a thermal dye transfer donor element having a slipping layer that contains a mixture of a branched alpha-olefin polymer and a wax. The experimental modification of this prior art in order to ascertain optimum operating conditions (e.g., determine polymeric molecular weights) fails to render applicants' claims patentable in the absence of unexpected results.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,600

Art Unit: 1774

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bruce Hess at telephone number (571) 272-1525.

B.H. Hess/dh March 10, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300